

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/894,689	06/27/2001	Shigeyoshi Hirashima	450100-03261 6422 EXAMINER	
20999	7590 09/05/2006			
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.			POON, KING Y	
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			DATE MAILED: 09/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	09/894,689	HIRASHIMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	King Y. Poon	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONEL	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	<u>ne 2006</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-8 and 11-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,8 and 13</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,6,7,11 and 12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	• •					
application from the International Bureau		a m and radional stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/894,689 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 3, 8, 13 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 6/23/2006.
- 2. Applicant's election with traverse of the restriction requirement in the reply filed on 6/23/2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that until now, the examiner has no difficulty in examining all of the claims in this application. This is not found persuasive because:
 - a) the application is originally examined by examiner Pendergrass.
- b) Since the applicant is claiming a different invention (see amendment filed on 11/5/2005, and advisory action mailed on 11/30/2005), the examiner would required a new search for the newly claimed invention and in fact the examiner found new references directed to the now elected species. Therefore, the examiner has no difficulty of examining all of the species of the original filed invention does not mean the examiner has no difficulty of examining all of the species of the newly amended invention.
- c) as a matter fact, the examiner has conducted a complete, detail and time consuming search for the elected species and located the best reference for the elected species and it appears that the newly located prior art is not the best reference for the non elected species (the prior art used in rejecting the elected species is not enough to reject the non elected species). The examiner must conduct another search and rely on

Application/Control Number: 09/894,689 Page 3

Art Unit: 2625

different reference for the non elected species. Therefore, there present a serious burden for the examiner if restriction is not being made.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Specification

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 11, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitation of "a service center server to provide automated maintenance for the printer over the network, is subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2625

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 11, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over White et al (US 6,301,012) in view of Petteruti et al (US 6,379,058).

Regarding claim 6: White teaches a connecting method for automatically (column 2, lines 5-10) connecting a connection source (printer 55, fig. 12) over a network (column 3, lines 30-32) to a predetermined connection destination (print server 20, fig. 1) using a trigger signal (reply packet, column 3, lines 38-45) issued upon initial power-up (fig. 2 is program steps being run by the printer during power up) wherein the connection source is a printer and the predetermined connection destination is a service center server to provide automated maintenance (column 4, lines 60-65) for said printer over said network the method comprising the steps of: causing said connection source to make a connection request (reply packet, column 3, lines 39-46) to said predetermined connection destination, said connection request including a connection source identification for identifying said connection source, causing said predetermined connection destination to receive said connection request from said connection source in order to judge whether said connection source is a predetermined connection source or not upon interpreting said connection request (fig. 1, column 3, lines 45-55), the predetermined connection destination including plural connection source identifications for identifying a plurality of connection sources (entry for printer in cash, column 3, lines

Art Unit: 2625

50-55, column 1, lines 30-45, list of devices, a printer is one of the device); the predetermined connection destination judging whether said connection source is a predetermined connection source by checking said connection source identification against said plural connection source identifications (column 3,lines 45-57), if said connection source is judged to be a predetermined connection source by said judging means, then granting connection permission to said connection source (column 4, lines 65-67, column 5, lines 1-8), and connecting (once the printer drive is installed, the printer is connected to the server to be used by users) said connection source to said predetermined connection destination.

White does not teach setting information about said predetermined connection destination to said connection source in advance.

However, White teaches the reply packet to send over the network using multicast (column 3, lines 39-40).

Petteruti, teaches packet send by multicast over the network inherently requires information about said predetermined connection destination such that the receiving party would know the packet is intended for receiving party (column 6, lines 50-60, fig. 5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified White to include: setting information about said predetermined connection destination to said connection source in advance.

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified White by the teaching of Petteruti because it

Art Unit: 2625

would have allowed White to include the destination address in the reply packet such that the server would determined whether the packet is intended for the server or not as taught by fig. 5 of Petteruti.

Note: White receives many signal from the network such as signal from a client computer and only the signal from a reply packet of the printer would grant permission for the connecting service such as adding printer drive for the printer or adding the printer to the network such that the printer would be selected by a user (column 3, lines 45-60, column 4, lines 60-65, column 4, lines 17-28).

Regarding claims 1, 11: Claims 1, 11 are rejected based on the same reason because claims 1, 6, 11 are generic, applicant's reply filed on 6/23/2006. Also see MPEP 806.04 (d).

Regarding claims 2, 7, 12: White teaches wherein said connection source is connected to the connection destination without intervention of an Internet service provider being contracted (fig. 1).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 11, 12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Please see detailed office action.

Art Unit: 2625

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to King Y. Poon whose telephone number is 571-272-7440. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Coles can be reached on 571-272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 26, 2006

KING Y. POON
PRIMARY EXAMINITY